

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
8 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

9 JULIUS JOHNSON,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 ONIKA TANYA MARAJ, et al.

13 Defendants.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-05061-PA-AFM

**ORDER DENYING  
PLAINTIFF'S *EX PARTE*  
APPLICATION FOR  
AUTHORIZATION TO INCLUDE  
NEW, RELATED CLAIM IN  
SECOND AMENDMENT  
COMPLAINT DUE JANUARY 3,  
2024**

**ORDER**

The Court having considered Plaintiffs' *Ex Parte* Application for Authorization, the Court hereby orders that

Plaintiff's Application is **GRANTED** as follows:

Plaintiff, in his Second Amended Complaint due to be filed no later than January 3, 2024, is hereby authorized to include a new claim against Defendants under 17 U.S.C. § 1202(a) based on substantially the factual allegations previously asserted in the now-dismissed First Amended Complaint .

**DENIED**

BY ORDER OF

\*\*\*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
01/02/24

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_

HONORABLE PERCY ANDERSON  
U.S. District Court Judge

\*\*\*Denied without prejudice to being brought as a regularly noticed motion.